Stakeholder Innovation Group (SIG):
Intake Form for New Payment Model Idea that Requires State/Federal Approval
(to be added to the Innovations Website)

Purpose: The purpose of this form is to collect structured information on stakeholders’ innovative ideas for new payment models to transform and improve the quality of health care delivered in Maryland and meet Total Cost of Care (TCOC) Model savings requirements. New payment models are innovative ideas, outside of traditional provider payment structures, that require approval from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and/or the state of Maryland.

Background: The Stakeholder Innovation Group (SIG) is a provider-led, stakeholder forum to identify innovative ideas and care transformation ideas to advance the goals of the TCOC Model and population health efforts in the state. The SIG discusses and enhances ideas before the state recommends the idea to the Secretary of Health and the Secretary’s Vision Group (SVG) for approval.

Instructions to Fill Out this Intake Form: Any health care provider or stakeholder in Maryland may submit a new payment model idea through this intake form. Ideas will be reviewed by SIG staff for completeness and will be discussed in SIG meetings.

Ideas are intended to be discussed, improved, and challenged by the SIG. While it is likely that more complete ideas will move through the SIG assessment and state evaluation process, staff acknowledges the spectrum that exists between a concept and a fully defined payment model idea. A secondary purpose of this form is to help stakeholders understand the type of information that the SIG and state need to effectively assess and evaluate a potential idea. SIG staff commit to working with stakeholders to complete the form and offer up to an hour of technical assistance per idea.

The form is estimated to take approximately 20 minutes to fill out. The form’s sections are aligned with the SIG assessment and evaluation criteria. Mandatory questions are indicated by an asterisk (*). Please note that this Payment Model Idea Intake Form is separate from the existing case study form for the Innovation Inventory, which contains programs that already exist and could be scaled by stakeholders without state review and approval.

Contact Erin Dorrien, MHA Director of Policy, with questions. at edorrien@mhaonline.org.

Requests for technical assistance can be directed to md.aid@maryland.gov.
**SIG Assessment and State Evaluation Criteria:** Payment model ideas will be discussed and enhanced via the provider-led SIG. The SIG outlined a tentative set of assessment criteria (in the table below), which outline a standard against which all payment model ideas will be compared. During the SIG assessment, the state will work closely to develop TCOC savings estimates to gain an understanding of return on investment potential.

Once a proposed payment model meets the SIG assessment criteria, the model moves to a multi-agency state group for a TCOC evaluation, alignment with state priorities and programs, and a review of potential outcomes. This state group will then advance the idea to the Secretary’s Vision Group for approval, recommend the idea go back to the SIG for additional refinement, or not recommend the idea for implementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Criteria</th>
<th>Assessment Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Target Population**               | • Targets a patient population with high expenditures or high needs  
• Delivers care in way that reduces health disparities                                  |
| **Quality and Cost**                | • Reasonable quality benchmark and goal(s)  
• Estimated ROI based on program administration costs and cost to conveners to participate |
| **Value over Volume**               | • Strengthens accountability for a patient population  
• Incentives promote preventive care, population health, and/or public health               |
| **Payment Methodology and Flexibility** | • Builds on proven or existing payment mechanisms and/or attribution models  
• Provides flexibility to providers to deliver additional, high-value services  
• Feasibility of receiving requested Medicaid and/or Medicare waivers, if necessary, from CMS |
# Payment Model Idea Intake Form

## Section 1: Submitter Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization or Affiliation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Submission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Section 2: Payment Model Idea Overview

### Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Idea</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Objective

*Select all that apply:*
- [ ] Reduction of disease burden
- [ ] Provision of alternative clinical services
- [ ] Streamlining of available services
- [ ] Reduce per capita cost
- [ ] Reduce total cost of care (TCOC)
- [ ] Other:

## Section 3: Payment Model Idea Details

### Target Population

*Example: All residents over 18 years in zip codes XXXXX who have three or more chronic conditions and were discharged from an acute care visit (inpatient hospitalization or ED visit) within past six months that was due to an exacerbation of the following chronic conditions: xxxxxx.*

### Description of Intervention(s)

### Duration of Performance Period

*This duration should match with your methodology for calculating savings and correspond with your timeframe for monitoring of any quality or process measures.*
- Begins *(i.e. infrastructure is in place and intervention(s) is active with patients):*
- Ends:

### Quality Impact

*Select and describe all measures that apply:*
- Process:
- Patient outcome:
- Patient experience or satisfaction:

*For each measure, describe its:*
- Current Performance:
- Annual Targeted Improvement:
### Downside Risk Requirement for TCOC Accountability

*Downside financial risk means that if the risk-bearing entity exceeds budgeted costs or incurs losses, then it must refund payers a portion of the difference in costs. To ensure financial accountability under the TCOC Model and promote performance, specify the entity that will bear downside financial risk for the program:

### Financial Methodology

Describe your methodology for determining a return on investment and for calculating savings in these areas:
- Program sustainability
- Total cost of care impact
- Other

### Anticipated Resource Requirements

#### Funding Requirements

- Describe your source of funding for idea development, implementation, and operations:
  - *Primary:
  - Secondary:
- Startup estimate, including staffing:
- Operational estimate:
- Long-term Funding Mechanism:

#### Advanced Alternative Payment Model (APM) Applicability

- Can this idea be developed as an addition to the Care Redesign Program (CRP) or the upcoming Enhanced Episode Program?
  - If yes, which one?
  - No
  - I do not know

- For Medicare purposes, to be designated as an Advanced APM under CMS Quality Payment Program rules, all three of the following criteria must be met. For more information, please see CMS’ Advanced APM designation requirements. Select the following criteria that you think would apply to your idea:
  - Requires participants to use certified EHR technology;
  - Provides payment for covered professional services based on quality measures comparable to those used in the MIPS quality performance category; and
  - Requires participants to bear more than nominal financial risk,\(^1\) defined as either:
    - At least 8 percent of the entity’s own Medicare Part A and Part B payments; or

---

\(^1\) Under federal regulations, this third requirement can be substituted if Medical Home Model expanded under CMS Innovation Center authority. However, the State does not anticipate other models in Maryland to qualify for this besides the current Maryland Primary Care Program (MDPCP).
At least 3 percent of the total Part A and B spending for which the entity is responsible, even if not directly paid to them.\(^2\)

- Maryland hospitals meet all three of these qualifications and are considered Advanced APM Entities. Medicare ACOs taking on the required level of financial risk are also considered Advanced APM Entities. Such submitters should note this. Furthermore, qualifying clinicians, as defined by CMS’ Quality Payment Program, partnering with Advanced APM Entities may qualify for a 5% increase in their Medicare payments (i.e., MACRA bonus).
- There may be opportunities for Advanced APMs with other payers\(^3\)

### Legal or Regulatory Barriers

Describe legal or regulatory barriers to operationalization, if any, particularly if your idea requires a waiver from Medicare rules that is necessary for the intervention(s) described above to be operational and successful.

### Payer Alignment

Does your payment model idea intend for participation by more than one payer?

- Yes
- No

### Section 4: Additional Information

**Partner(s)**

*If your organization will work with a Partner to furnish services to the Target Population, complete this section for each Partner, if there are multiple. If no Partners, skip this section.*

**Partner Type**

*Select one and describe their responsibility:*

- Physician groups, clinicians or auxiliary personnel:
- Community based organizations:
- Local or State agencies:
- Other:

**Accountability Mechanism**

*Select all that apply:*

- Contract
- Affiliation
- Other

**Incentive Payments to Partners, if offering**

- *Select one:*
  - Prospective
  - Retrospective
- *Select all that apply: Types and source*
  - Shared savings
  - Bundled payments
  - Bonus payments
  - PMPM payments

---

\(^2\) Based on federal regulation at 42 CFR 414.1415(c)(3).

\(^3\) See [https://qpp.cms.gov/apms/all-payer-advanced-apms](https://qpp.cms.gov/apms/all-payer-advanced-apms)
| □ Shared resources  
| □ Other  
| • Select one: How will you determine the amount of incentive payment that may be distributed to your Partner?  
| □ Percentage of savings  
| □ Pre-determined  
| □ Other  
| • Describe any cash flow mechanism for distribution of savings to partners.  
| *(Cash flow mechanisms involve changes in the method of payment for services furnished by providers and suppliers participating in an APM Entity. Cash flow mechanisms do not change the overall amount of payments. Rather, they change cash flow by providing a different method of payment for services.)*  

**Maryland Adoption of Idea**  
*Answer these questions if your idea exists outside the State of Maryland.*

| Name of program existing outside of Maryland |  
|  
| What aspects can be replicated in Maryland? |  
|  
| What lessons can be adopted in Maryland? |  
|  
| Provide any relevant website links |  
|  
| Upload attachments, e.g. journal articles, evaluation studies, etc. |